

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/088,666	FANDKE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Melissa Kolom.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

42

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to claim 42 that would place the application in condition for allowance. Melissa Kolom approved the amendment in a return phone call on 10/25/06. The content of the amendment is provided in detail in the Examiner's amendment attached to the Notice of Allowability.